Active sites characterisation using
adsorption microcalorimetry at reaction temperature

S. Wrabetz [1], T.C.R. Rocha[2], D. Teschner[1], A. Knop-Gericke[1], G. Vilé[3],
J. Pérez-Ramirez[3] and R. Schlögl[1]

[1] *Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, 14195 Berlin, Germany, wrabetz@fhi-berlin.mpg.de*
[2] *Laboratório Nacional de Luz Síncrotron, Brazil* [3] *ETH Zurich, Switzerland*

Heterogeneous catalysis involves specific chemical interactions between the surface of a solid and the reacting gas (or liquid phase) molecules. The catalytic cycle is generally composed of absorption/desorption steps, and surface reaction processes. The knowledge about heat of adsorption of reactant on the surface of a catalyst at reaction temperature ($T_{react.}$) can contribute to a better understanding of the complex microkinetics. Since perhaps only a minor fraction of all surface atoms form active centers, the determination of their number, strength and energy distribution requires a sensitive analytical method. We focus here on adsorption microcalorimetry at reaction temperature [1] and present two projects i) the dynamics of Ag-O system depending on time/temperature/pressure studied by oxygen adsorption at 150°C and 230°C ($T_{react.}$) and ii) 1-hexyne adsorption at 80°C ($T_{react.}$) on supported ceria , being unexpectedly active in the three-phase semi-hydrogenation of 1-hexyne.

i) Based on XPS, DFT calculations and microcalorimetry we have developed a picture to explain the Ag-O dynamics during thermal treatments and ethylene epoxidation. Essential processes are surface reconstruction, oxygen dissolution to subsurface and surface oxide growth at pressure between 10^{-1} and 1 mbar O_2 and at 230°C. These reaction steps are characterized by a saturation concentration of oxygen of 1.5 $\mu mol_{O2}/g_{Ag}$ and a differential heat of adsorption of >50 - 120 $kJmol^{-1}$.

ii) In order to correlate the catalytic performance with characteristic properties of ceria, the chemisorption of 1-hexyne at $T_{react.}$=80°C and r.t. was studied on two differently active 20wt%CeO_2/TiO_2 catalysts. The 20wt%CeO_2/TiO_2-WI catalyst (wet impregnation) shows a much

higher alkyne conversion than the 20wt%CeO_2/TiO_2-DI catalyst (dry impregnation). In both cases, the olefin selectivity is constant at 100%. Figure 1 shows that for both catalysts the differential heat of adsorption profile as a function of 1-hexyne uptake reveals a very strong adsorption at the initial stage (up to 900 $kJmol^{-1}$) suggesting multiple dehydrogenation steps taking place. After approximately 0.01 $mmolg^{-1}$, the heat of adsorption stabilizes around 200 $kJmol^{-1}$. This heat of adsorption resembles the energy released upon a single dehydrogenation step of acetylene.[2] Further adsorption takes place with slowly decreasing heat evolution up to 0.22 $mmolg^{-1}$ for the more active 20wt%CeO_2/TiO_2-WI and up

Figure1. *Differential heat of adsorption of 1-hexyne over 20wt%CeO₂/TiO₂-WI (black) and 20wt%CeO₂/TiO₂-DI (grey) at 80°C.*
Re-adsorption of 1-hexyne after desorption of 1-hexyne at 80°C under vacuum (open circles).

to 0.16 $mmolg^{-1}$ for the less active 20wt%CeO_2/TiO_2-DI. The chemisorption process is irreversible (Figure 1). The regeneration of the catalyst surface using H_2 (at 150°C) has been somewhat more successful for the more active catalyst. The more active catalyst is characterized by a higher amount of adsorption places for 1-hexyne and a slightly easier regeneration of the surface after 1-hexyne contact at reaction temperature. Furthermore, the obtained results indicate that under reaction conditions a significant portion of the surface sites is covered by dehydrogenated species and is not available for hydrogenation. This is in line with DFT calculations of acetylene adsorption on CeO_2(111) showing that the most preferred adsorption mode is dissociative with respect to the C–H bond.[2] Nevertheless, the remaining small number of surface sites are active and selective in alkyne hydrogenation.

References:
[1] (a) S. Wrabetz, R. Schlögl, F. Jentoft et.al., J. Catal. 269 (2010) 351-358. (b) Amakawa, K., Wrabetz, S., Kröhnert, J., Tzolova-Müller, G., Schlögl, R., Trunschke, A.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 134 (28) (2012) 11462-11473. (c) B. Frank, S. Wrabetz, A. Trunschke, R. Schlögl, et.al., ChemPhysChem 12 (2011) 2709-2713. (d) R. Arrigio, S. Wrabetz, R. Schlögl et.al., PCCP 14 (2012) 10523-10532. (e) G. Vilé, S. Wrabetz, L. Floryan, M.E. Schuster, F. Girgsdies, D. Teschner, J. Pérez-Ramirez, Chem. Cat. Chem., 2014 DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201402124.
[2] J. Carrasco, G. Vilé, D. Fernández-Torre, R. Pérez, J. Pérez-Ramírez, M. V. Ganduglia-Pirovano, J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 5352.